

## Automated Vehicle Symposium Survey Results Summary

| Scenario*    | Average Overall Rating |
|--------------|------------------------|
| 1            | 7.8                    |
| 2            | 7.2                    |
| 5            | 7.7                    |
| 6            | 8.6                    |
| 7            | 8                      |
| 8**          | 7.75                   |
| <b>Total</b> | <b>7.8</b>             |

Overall, reception was positive and many people said the organizers did a great job with the event. People generally had fun and thought it was beneficial to interact with people of diverse work backgrounds on the scenarios. Below, qualitative and quantitative data is summarized and comments and suggestions of note are listed so that the event organizers can improve for the next symposium.

\*Scenarios 3 & 4 did not appear in surveys

\*\*Scenario 8: "Revolutionaries"

### Summary of Quantitative Data (Respondent Ratings):

| Average     | <u>Rounded Average</u> |                               |
|-------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 7.902439024 | <b>7.9</b>             | Dan Fagnant                   |
| 8.292682927 | <b>8.3</b>             | Bryant Walker                 |
| 7.953488372 | <b>8.0</b>             | Does scenario evoke planning? |
| 6.87804878  | <b>6.9</b>             | Technology Pathways Materials |
| 5.80952381  | <b>5.8</b>             | Site materials                |
| 7.906976744 | <b>7.9</b>             | Format                        |
| 7.818181818 | <b>7.8</b>             | Overall Rating                |

### Summary of Qualitative Comments:

-44 surveys collected in total  
 -26 respondents mentioned ***the group work/discussion on scenarios was what worked best***; 7 mentioned presentations in morning and 6 mentioned reference/handouts/background materials  
 -18 respondents referenced time management or length of workshop negatively in some way; 9 said ***the report back at end/debrief was too long and not monitored***, 5 wanted more time working in groups, 4 wanted the beginning presentations and/or background information cut-out, and 3 said ***more education up front would've helped*** (possibly before the workshop)

### Common Suggestions:

- Provide more info prior to workshop
  - Maybe give out docs beforehand to read to educate attendees?
- Overall, the symposium was too long
  - Have more time for work groups
  - Make it two days split up or 3 small sessions versus one big one
- Shorten or eliminate the report back at end of day
  - Tighter facilitation, cap presentations at 3-5 minutes, look into one on one group sharing instead of the whole conference, all groups should have used PPT
- Make the group formation smoother
  - Should not have to sign up for a group; have tables with group numbers instead
- Could have more concrete and spatial descriptions of scenarios, narrow focus

### Common comments:

- Some groups didn't use the site materials: region, neighborhood and street handouts
- Improve lunch options, prices, and overall situation
- It was difficult to focus group on specific scenario, stay on track and be practical

### Other Notable Suggestions/Comments:

- Have a venue closer to public transit
- A single booklet would have been preferable to the slew of various handouts
- Have formal presenters be more open to ATN/PRT/GRT
- Have time for Q & A after presentations at the end
- New cities foundation may be a good resource

[\(http://www.newcitiesfoundation.org/re-imagining-urban-mobility/\)](http://www.newcitiesfoundation.org/re-imagining-urban-mobility/)